arXiv:1412.5242v1 [math.CO] 17 Dec 2014

INTEGRALITY OF THE SIMPLE HURWITZ NUMBERS

SHINTAROU YANAGIDA

Abstract. We show the integrality of the simple Hurwitz numbers. The main tool is the cut-and-join operator, and our proof is a purely combinatorial one.

Contents

0. Introduction 1
1. Hurwitz number 2
2. Cut-and-join equation 6
3. Integrality 8
References 10

0. Introduction

The purpose of this note is to prove the integrality of the simple Hurwitz numbers. The statement is as follows.

Theorem (Theorem 3.1)**.** *The Hurwitz number $h_{g,\mu}$ is a positive integer except for the cases $h_{g,(1)} = 0$ $(g \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1})$ and $h_{g,(2)} = h_{g,(1,1)} = 1/2$ $(g \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$.*

By the term "Hurwitz number", we mean the weighted counting of the connected coverings of the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1$ which have a ramification at one point with the profile data given by μ, and which also have simple ramifications at r points. Here μ is a partition and g is a non-negative integer (the genus of the covering). The number r of simple ramifications is given by $r := 2g - 2 + \ell(\mu) + |\mu|$, where $\ell(\mu)$ is the length and $|\mu|$ is the total sum of μ. Sometimes this number $h_{g,\mu}$ is called the simple Hurwitz number, which appears in the title of this note. See §1 for the detailed definition.

The main tool of our proof is the cut-and-join equation, which will be explained in §2. The content of §1 and §2 is more or less known. The proof will be given in §3.

Acknowledgements. The author is supported by the Grant-in-aid for Scientific Research (No. 25800014), JSPS. This work is also partially supported by the JSPS for Advancing Strategic International Networks to Accelerate the Circulation of Talented Researchers "Mathematical Science of Symmetry, Topology and Moduli, Evolution of International Research Network based on OCAMI"".

This note is written during the author's stay at UC Davis. The author would like to thank the institute for support and hospitality. He would also like to thank Professor Motohico Mulase for showing his interest and providing fundamental knowledge on the Hurwitz number.

Notation. We denote by $\mathbb{Z}_+ := \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$ the set of positive integers.

We follow [M95] for the notations of partitions and related notions. The Greek letters $\lambda, \mu, \ldots$ will be used for denoting partitions unless otherwise stated. The symbol like $\underline{m}$ denotes a multi-index unless otherwise stated.

Here we recall a few notations of partitions in [M95] which will be repeatedly used in the main text. A partition is a finite sequence of positive integers ordered by size. We include the empty sequence $\emptyset$ as a partition. For a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k)$, its length and total sum are denoted by

$$\ell(\lambda) := k, \quad |\lambda| := \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i.$$

The set of all partitions will be denoted by $\mathcal{P}$. We also denote by $\lambda \vdash n$ a partition λ with $|\lambda| = n$.

A partition is identified with the Young diagram. For $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k)$, the boxes are places at $\{(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid 1 \leq i \leq k,\ 1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i\}$. Denote by λ' the partition associated to the transposed Young

Date: December 17, 2014.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05A15.

diagram of λ. The arm and leg for a box $\square$ with respect to the Young diagram associated to a partition λ is denoted by $a_\lambda(\square)$ and $l_\lambda(\square)$. If $\square$ is placed at (i,j), then the arm and leg can be expressed as

$$a_\lambda(\square) := \lambda_i - j, \quad l_\lambda(\square) := \lambda'_j - i.$$

Here if $i > \ell(\lambda)$ we set $\lambda_i := 0$. The hook length is denoted by $h_\lambda(\square)$, so that

$$h_\lambda(\square) = a_\lambda(\square) + l_\lambda(\square) + 1.$$

Finally,

$$z_\lambda := \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{m_n(\lambda)} m_n(\lambda)!, \quad m_n(\lambda) := \#\{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \mid \lambda_i = n\}.$$

We also follow [M95] for the notations of symmetric functions. Λ denotes the space of symmetric functions over $\mathbb{Z}$. s_λ denotes the Schur symmetric function associated to a partition λ. Recall that the set $\{s_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{P}\}$ is a basis of Λ. p_n denotes the n-th power sum symmetric function, and we set $p_\lambda := \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} p_{\lambda_i}$ for a partition λ. Recall also that the set $\{p_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{P}\}$ is a basis of $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}} := \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. If we want to express the set $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots)$ of variables in symmetric functions, we will use the notation

$$p_n[x] := x_1^n + x_2^n + \cdots.$$

1. Hurwitz number

Denote by $\mathrm{Cov}_d\big(\mu^{(1)}, \ldots, \mu^{(r)}\big)$ the weighted number of d-fold coverings of $\mathbb{P}^1$ ramified over r fixed points of $\mathbb{P}^1$ with monodromies in the conjugacy classes corresponding to the partitions $\mu^{(i)}$. The weight of a covering is the reciprocal of the order of its group of automorphisms. Burnside formula says

$$\mathrm{Cov}_d\big(\mu^{(1)}, \ldots, \mu^{(r)}\big) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash d} \left(\frac{\dim \lambda}{d!}\right)^2 \prod_{i=1}^{r} f_\lambda(\mu^{(i)}), \quad f_\lambda(\mu) := \frac{\#C_\mu \chi^\lambda_\mu}{\dim \lambda}. \tag{1.1}$$

Here C_μ denotes the set of the conjugacy class corresponding to the partition μ, $\dim \lambda$ and χ^λ are the dimension and the character of the irreducible representation of the d-th symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_d$ associated to the partition λ, and χ^λ_μ the value of χ^λ at the conjugacy class C_μ.

Using the dimension formula (the so-called hook formula)

$$\dim \lambda = \frac{|\lambda|!}{h_\lambda}, \quad h^\lambda := \prod_{\square \in \lambda} h_\lambda(\square)$$

and the counting formula of C_μ

$$\#C_\mu = \frac{|\mu|!}{z_\mu},$$

we can rewrite the formula (1.1) into the form

$$\mathrm{Cov}_d\big(\mu^{(1)}, \ldots, \mu^{(r)}\big) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash d} \left(\frac{\dim \lambda}{d!}\right)^2 \prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{h^\lambda \chi^\lambda_{\mu^{(i)}}}{z_{\mu^{(i)}}}. \tag{1.2}$$

Let us consider

$$\mathrm{Cov}_{d,r}(\mu) := \mathrm{Cov}_d\big(\underbrace{(2, 1^{d-2}), \ldots, (2, 1^{d-2})}_{r}, \mu\big)$$

the weighted counting of coverings with simple ramifications at r points and an arbitrary ramification at one point. In [O00] Okounkov discovered that the generating function of $\mathrm{Cov}_d\big((2,1^{d-2}), \ldots, (2,1^{d-2}), \mu, \nu\big)$ coincides with the τ-function of the Toda lattice hierarchy. Here we quote his result in the simplified version $\nu = \emptyset$.

Fact 1.1 ([O00])**.** Let Q and β be (commuting) indeterminates and set

$$\tau[x] := \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^r}{r!} \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} Q^r \sum_{\mu \vdash d} \mathrm{Cov}_{d,r}(\mu) p_\mu[x].$$

Define $D^{(2)}$ to be the linear operator acting on the space Λ of symmetric function as

$$D^{(2)} s_\lambda = s_\lambda \kappa_\lambda / 2, \quad \kappa_\lambda := 2 f_\lambda\big((2, 1^{d-2})\big)$$

for arbitrary $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$. Then we have

$$\tau[x] = e^{\beta D^{(2)}} e^{Q p_1}. \tag{1.3}$$

Proof. Using the expansion formula [M95, Chap. I, (7.7)]

$$s_\lambda = \sum_{\mu \vdash |\lambda|} \frac{\chi^\lambda_\mu}{z_\mu} p_\mu \tag{1.4}$$

and the Burnside formula (1.1) or (1.2), one can easily find

$$\tau[x] = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} Q^{|\lambda|} e^{\beta \kappa_\lambda / 2} \frac{\dim \lambda}{|\lambda|!} s_\lambda[x].$$

Recalling

$$\frac{\dim \lambda}{|\lambda|!} = s_\lambda\big|_{p_1=1, p_2=p_3=\cdots=0}$$

we have

$$\tau[x] = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} Q^{|\lambda|} e^{\beta \kappa_\lambda / 2} s_\lambda[x]\ s_\lambda\big|_{p_1=1, p_2=p_3=\cdots=0}.$$

Using the operator $D^{(2)}$ it is rewritten as

$$\tau[x] = e^{\beta D^{(2)}} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} Q^{|\lambda|} s_\lambda[x]\ s_\lambda\big|_{p_1=1, p_2=p_3=\cdots=0}.$$

Finally by the Cauchy formula

$$\exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n} p_n[x] p_n[y] \right) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} s_\lambda[x] s_\lambda[y],$$

we have the result (1.3). □

As a corollary, we can compute $\mathrm{Cov}_{d,r}(\mu)$ by the formula

$$\mathrm{Cov}_{d,r}(\mu) = \text{coefficient of } p_\mu \text{ in } \frac{1}{|\mu|!} \left(D^{(2)} \right)^r p_1^{|\mu|}. \tag{1.5}$$

Now we also note that the operator $D^{(2)}$ is nothing but the cut-and-join operator.

Fact 1.2. $D^{(2)}$ is realized by the following differential operator:

$$D^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l=1}^\infty \left((k+l) p_k p_l \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{k+l}} + kl p_{k+l} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_l} \right). \tag{1.6}$$

In other words, the Schur symmetric functions s_λ are eigenfunctions of the differential operator $D^{(2)}$ with eigenvalues $\kappa_\lambda/2$.

This eigenvalue can be calculated from the definition $\kappa_\lambda/2 = f_\lambda\big((2, 1^{|\lambda|-2})\big)$ and the formula [M95, Chap. I, §7, Example 5]

$$\chi^\lambda_\mu = \sum_S (-1)^{\mathrm{ht}(S)}. \tag{1.7}$$

Here the index S runs over the set of all sequences of partitions $S = (\lambda^{(0)}, \lambda^{(1)}, \ldots, \lambda^{(m)})$ such that $m = \ell(\mu)$, $0 = \lambda^{(0)} \subset \lambda^{(1)} \subset \cdots \subset \lambda^{(m)}$ and that $\lambda^{(i)} - \lambda^{(i-1)}$ is a border strip, and $\mathrm{ht}(\lambda)$ is the number of rows occupied by λ *minus one*. The result is

$$\kappa_\lambda/2 = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \lambda_i(\lambda_i - 2i + 1)/2, \tag{1.8}$$

which is an integer.

Remark 1.3. It seems that there are several ways to prove this statement. A direct check can be seen, for example, at [Z03, Proposition 2.2]. Here we also cite [S89, Theorem 3.1], which showed that the cut-and-join operator with one parameter

$$D^{(2)}(\alpha) := \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{k,l=1}^\infty \left((k+l) p_k p_l \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{k+l}} + \alpha kl p_{k+l} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_l} \right) + (\alpha - 1) \sum_{k-1}^\infty k^2 p_k \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} \right\}$$

has the Jack symmetric functions as eigenfunctions. Let us denote by $P_\lambda(x; \alpha)$ the (monomial) Jack symmetric function normalized as $P_\lambda(x; \alpha) = m_\lambda +$ (lower terms), where m_μ is the monomial symmetric functions and the ordering is taken to be the dominance ordering (see [M95, Chap. VI, §10]). Then we have

$$D^{(2)} P_\lambda(x; \alpha) = P_\lambda(x; \alpha) \varepsilon_\lambda(\alpha), \quad \varepsilon_\lambda(\alpha) := \alpha n(\lambda') - n(\lambda) + (\alpha - 1)|\lambda|/2,$$

where $n(\lambda) := \sum_i (i-1)\lambda_i = \sum_i \binom{\lambda'_i}{2}$. Since $P_\lambda(x; 1) = s_\lambda$, we recover the statement (1.6)

Finally we introduce the Hurwitz numbers $h_{g,\mu}$ as follows.

Definition 1.4. Define $h_{g,\mu} \in \mathbb{Q}$ for $g \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{P}$ by

$$\log \tau[x] = \sum_{d,r=0}^{\infty} Q^d \frac{\beta^r}{r!} \sum_{\mu \vdash d} h_{g,\mu} p_\mu[x]$$

with the relation

$$2g - 2 + \ell(\mu) + |\mu| = r. \tag{1.9}$$

By the property of log, one finds that $h_{g,\mu}$ counts the *connected* coverings with simple ramifications at r points and the ramification given by μ at one point.

By this definition and the formula (1.5), one can compute the Hurwitz numbers. We list here the numbers for $g \leq 6$ and $|\mu| \leq 6$ in Tables 1 and 2. They (of course) coincide with the results in [LZZ00] and [EMS11].

	$g=0$	1	2	3	4	5	6
$\mu=(1)$	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
(2)	1/2	1/2	1/2	1/2	1/2	1/2	1/2
(1^2)	1/2	1/2	1/2	1/2	1/2	1/2	1/2
(3)	1	9	81	729	6561	59049	531441
$(2,1)$	4	40	364	3280	29524	265720	2391484
(1^3)	4	40	264	3280	29524	265720	2391484
(4)	4	160	5824	209920	7558144	272097280	9795518464
$(3,1)$	27	1215	45927	1673055	60407127	2176250895	78359381127
$(2,2)$	12	480	17472	629760	22674432	816291840	29386555392
$(2,1^2)$	120	5460	206640	7528620	271831560	9793126980	352617206880
(1^4)	120	5460	206640	7528620	271831560	9793126980	352617206880
(5)	25	3125	328125	33203125	3330078125	333251953125	33331298828125
$(4,1)$	256	35840	3956736	409108480	41394569216	4156871147520	416314027933696
$(3,2)$	216	26460	2748816	277118820	27762350616	2777408868780	277768823459616
$(3,1^2)$	1620	234360	26184060	2719617120	275661886500	27700994510280	2774997187556940
$(2^2,1)$	1440	188160	20160000	2059960320	207505858560	20803767828480	2082272553861120
$(2,1^3)$	8400	1189440	131670000	13626893280	1379375197200	138543794363520	13876390744734000
(1^5)	8400	1189440	131670000	13626893280	1379375197200	138543794363520	13876390744734000

TABLE 1. The Hurwitz numbers $h_{g,\mu}$ for $g \leq 6$ and $|\mu| \leq 5$

.

	$g=0$	1	2	3	4	5	6
$\mu=(6)$	216	68040	16901136	3931876080	895132294056	202252053177720	45575342328002976
$(5,1)$	3125	1093750	287109375	68750000000	15885009765625	3615783691406250	817717742919921875
$(4,2)$	2560	788480	192783360	44490434560	10093234511360	2277308480778240	512887872299714560
$(4,1^2)$	26880	9838080	2638056960	638265788160	148222087453440	33821881625226240	7657985270680120320
(3^2)	1215	357210	86113125	19797948720	4487187539835	1012204758777030	227953607360883345
$(3,2,1)$	45360	14696640	3710765520	872470478880	199914163328880	45334411650702720	10235275836481639440
$(3,1^3)$	181440	65998800	17634743280	4259736280800	988561437383520	225514718440830000	51056208831963782160
(2^3)	6720	2016000	486541440	111644332800	25269270586560	5696315163302400	1282471780397902080
$(2^2,1^2)$	241920	80438400	20589085440	4874762692800	1120875021826560	254613060830419200	57531761566570529280
$(2,1^4)$	1088640	382536000	100557737280	24109381296000	5576183206513920	1270116357617016000	287353806073982746560
(1^6)	1088640	382536000	100557737280	24109381296000	5576183206513920	1270116357617016000	287353806073982746560

TABLE 2. The Hurwitz numbers $h_{g,\mu}$ for $g \leq 6$ and $|\mu| = 6$

One can observe various properties of the values of $h_{g,\mu}$ from these tables. Here we list a few formula which are more or less known.

Lemma 1.5. (1) *For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ we have*

$$h_{0,(n)} = n^{n-3}.$$

(2) *For any $g \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ we have*

$$h_{g,(1)} = 0.$$

(3) *For any $g \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ we have*

$$h_{g,(2)} = h_{g,(1,1)} = 1/2.$$

(4) *For any $g \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ we have*

$$h_{g,(2,1^{n-2})} = h_{g,(1^n)}.$$

We close this section by giving a proof of (1). The others will be shown with the help of the cut-and-join equation in the next section.

Proof of Lemma 1.5 (1). By the definition we have

$$\mathrm{Cov}_{d,r}\big((d)\big) = h_{g,(d)},$$

where r and g are related by $r = 2g-1+d$ as (1.9). Thus from (1.5) it is enough to compute

$$h_{0,(n)} = \text{coefficient of } p_n \text{ in } \frac{1}{n!}\left(D^{(2)}\right)^{n-1} p_1^n.$$

Recall the Pieri formula [M95, Chap. I, (5,17)] $s_\lambda e_r = \sum_\mu s_\mu$ for a partition λ and a positive integer r. Here the running index μ runs over the set of partitions of $|\lambda|+n$ such that $\mu \supset \lambda$ and the skew Young diagram $\mu - \lambda$ is a vertical strip. Using the Pieri formula repeatedly for $r=1$, one can see

$$p_1^n = s_{(1)}^n = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \#\,\mathrm{ST}(\lambda) s_\lambda,$$

where $\mathrm{ST}(\lambda)$ denotes the set of standard tableaux with shape λ. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_{0,(n)} &= \text{coefficient of } p_n \text{ in } \frac{1}{n!}\left(D^{(2)}\right)^{n-1} \sum_{\lambda\vdash n} \#\,\mathrm{ST}(\lambda) s_\lambda, \\ &= \text{coefficient of } p_n \text{ in } \frac{1}{n!}\sum_{\lambda\vdash n} \#\,\mathrm{ST}(\lambda)\left(\frac{\kappa_\lambda}{2}\right)^{n-1} s_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Next we recall the expansion (1.4) $s_\lambda = \sum_{\mu\vdash|\lambda|} s_\mu \chi_\mu^\lambda / z_\mu$ and the formula (1.7) of χ_μ^λ. In the case $\mu=(n)$ the latter gives

$$\chi_{(n)}^\lambda = \begin{cases} (-1)^{n-r} & \lambda = (r, 1^{n-r}) \ \text{ for some } 1 \le r \le n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Thus we have

$$h_{0,(n)} = \frac{1}{n!}\sum_{r=1}^{n} \#\,\mathrm{ST}\big((r,1^{n-r})\big)\left(\frac{\kappa_{(r,1^{n-r})}}{2}\right)^{n-1}\frac{(-1)^{n-r}}{n}.$$

Since $\#\,\mathrm{ST}\big((r,1^{n-r})\big) = \binom{n-1}{r-1}$ by an easy observation, we have

$$h_{0,(n)} = \frac{1}{n!\cdot n}\sum_{r=1}^{n}(-1)^{n-r}\binom{n-1}{r-1}\left(\frac{n(2r-n-1)}{2}\right)^{n-1} = \frac{1}{n!\cdot n}\sum_{s=0}^{n-1}(-1)^s\binom{n-1}{s}\left(\binom{n}{2}-ns\right)^{n-1}. \tag{1.10}$$

Here we used the formula (1.8) for κ_λ.

Finally, using the elementary formula

$$f_{m,p} := \sum_{s=0}^{m}\binom{m}{s}(-1)^s s^p = \begin{cases} 0 & 1 \le p \le m-1 \\ (-1)^m m! & p = m \end{cases}, \tag{1.11}$$

we have

$$h_{0,(n)} = \frac{(-n)^{n-1}}{n!\cdot n} f_{n-1,n-1} = n^{n-3}.$$

□

By a slight generalization of the above argument, we have

Proposition 1.6. *For any $g \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 3}$, $h_{g,(n)}$ is a positive integer.*

Proof. Denote by $\left\{{k \atop j}\right\} := \sum_{i=0}^{j}(-1)^{j-i}\binom{j}{i} i^n/j!$ the Stirling number of the second kind. It satisfies $x^k = \sum_{j=0}^{k}\left\{{k \atop j}\right\} x(x-1)\dots(x-j)$. Then the formula (1.11) can be generalized to

$$f_{m,p} = \left\{{p \atop m}\right\}(-1)^m m! \tag{1.12}$$

for arbitrary $m, p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$. Using this generalization, one can prove

$$h_{g,(n)} \in \mathbb{Z} \ \text{ for any } \ g \ge 0,\ n \ge 3. \tag{1.13}$$

In fact, by the same way to obtain (1.10), one has

$$h_{g,(n)} = \frac{1}{n!\cdot n}\sum_{s=0}^{n-1}(-1)^s\binom{n-1}{s}\left(\binom{n}{2}-ns\right)^{n-1+g}.$$

Then (1.12) yields

$$\begin{aligned} h_{g,(n)} &= \frac{1}{n!\cdot n}\sum_{p=n-1}^{n-1+g}\binom{n-1+g}{p}\binom{n}{2}^{n-1+g-p}(-n)^p f_{n-1,p} \\ &= n^{n-3}\sum_{r=0}^{g}\binom{n-1+g}{n-1+r}\binom{n}{2}^{g-r}(-n)^r\left\{\begin{matrix} n-1+r \\ n-1 \end{matrix}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The last summation consists of integers, so we have the result (1.13).

Using the cut-and-join relations which will be introduced in the next section, one finds that $h_{g,(n)}$ is positive, so that in (1.10) we actually have $h_{g,(n)} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. □

2. Cut-and-join equation

We now introduce the cut-and-join equation following [EMS11, §3]. There are a large amount of literature for this topic, and here we only cite [GJ97].

We extend the definition of the Hurwitz number $h_{g,\mu}$ to the case when μ is a multi-index: For $\underline{k} = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, we define

$$h_{g,\underline{k}} := h_{g,\mu},$$

where μ is the partition obtained from $\underline{k}$ by ordering numbers k_i's in size. Thus $h_{g,\underline{k}}$ is invariant under permutation of the parts of $\underline{k}$.

Hereafter we use the same symbols $\ell(\underline{k})$ and $|\underline{k}|$ for the length and the total sum of $\underline{k}$ as in the case of partitions. Set

$$H_g(\underline{k}) := \frac{\#\operatorname{Aut}(\underline{k})}{r(g,\underline{k})!}h_{g,\underline{k}}.$$

Here $\operatorname{Aut}(\underline{k})$ is the set of automorphism of $\underline{k}$, so we have

$$\#\operatorname{Aut}\underline{k} = \prod_{i\in\mathbb{Z}_+} m_i(\underline{k})!, \quad m_i(\underline{k}) := \#\{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \mid k_j = i\}.$$

The function $r(g,\underline{k})$ is defined by

$$r(g,\underline{k}) := 2g - 2 + \ell(\underline{k}) + |\underline{k}|.$$

Fact 2.1 ([MZ10, Theorem 3.1])**.** $H_g(\underline{k})$ satisfies a recusion equation

$$\begin{aligned} r(g,\underline{k})H_g(\underline{k}) =& \sum_{i<j}(k_i+k_j)H_g\big(\underline{k}(\widehat{i},\widehat{j};k_i+k_j)\big) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\sum_{\alpha+\beta=k_i}\alpha\beta\left(H_{g-1}\big(\underline{k}(\widehat{i};\alpha,\beta)\big) + \sum_{g_1+g_2=g}\sum_{\underline{m}\sqcup\underline{n}=\underline{k}(\widehat{i})} H_{g_1}\big(\underline{m}(\alpha)\big)H_{g_2}\big(\underline{n}(\beta)\big)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Here we set $\ell := \ell(\underline{k})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{k}(\widehat{i},\widehat{j};k_i+k_j) &:= (k_1,\dots,\widehat{k_i},\dots,\widehat{k_j},\dots,k_\ell,k_i+k_j), \\ \underline{k}(\widehat{i};\alpha,\beta) &:= (k_1,\dots,\widehat{k_i},\dots,k_\ell,\alpha,\beta), \\ \underline{k}(\widehat{i}) &:= (k_1,\dots,\widehat{k_i},\dots,k_\ell), \\ \underline{m}(\alpha) &:= (m_1,\dots,m_{\ell(\underline{m})},\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

In the summations, α,β are positive integers, g_1, g_2 are non-negative integers and $\underline{m},\underline{n}$ are (possibly empty) ordered subsets of $\underline{k}(\widehat{i})$, where $\underline{k}(\widehat{i})$ is considered as an ordered set of integers.

Remark 2.2. (1) This equation follows from $\partial_\beta\tau[x] = D^{(2)}\tau[x]$, which is obvious from $\tau[x] = e^{\beta D^{(2)}}e^{Qp_1[x]}$.

(2) Let us see the change of $r(g,\underline{k})$ by this equation. Set $\ell := \ell(\underline{k})$ and $n := n(\underline{k})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &r(g,\underline{k}) = 2g-2+\ell+n, \\ &r\big(g,\underline{k}(\widehat{i},\widehat{j};k_i+k_j)\big) = 2g-2+(\ell-1)+n = r(g,\underline{k})-1, \\ &r\big(g-1,\underline{k}(\widehat{i};\alpha,\beta)\big) = 2(g-1)-2+(\ell+1)+n = r(g,\underline{k})-1, \\ &r\big(g_1,\underline{m}(\alpha)\big) \le 2g-2+\ell+(n-1) = r(g,\underline{k})-1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the cut-and-join always decreases $r(g,\underline{k})$, so that it gives a recursive computation for $h_{g,\underline{k}}$ with the initial value $h_{0,(1)} = 1$.

An easy application of the cut-and-join equation is the proofs of Lemma 1.5 (2), (3) and (4). Let us recall

(2) For any $g \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ we have
$$h_{g,(1)} = 0.$$
(3) For any $g \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ we have
$$h_{g,(2)} = h_{g,(1,1)} = 1/2.$$
(4) For any $g \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ we have
$$h_{g,(2,1^{n-2})} = h_{g,(1^n)}.$$

Proof of Lemma 1.5 (2). The cut-and-join equation for $\ell(\underline{k}) = 1$ is simply
$$(2g-1+n)H_g\big((n)\big) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha+\beta=n}\alpha\beta\left(H_{g-1}\big((\alpha,\beta)\big) + \sum_{g_1+g_2=g} H_{g_1}\big((\alpha)\big)H_{g_2}\big((\beta)\big)\right). \tag{2.1}$$
Then for $n=1$ and $g \geq 1$ we immediately have $h_{g,(1)} = 0$. □

Proof of Lemma 1.5 (3). For $n=2$ the equation (2.1) yields
$$(2g+1)H_g\big((2)\big) = \frac{1}{2}\left(H_{g-1}\big((1,1)\big) + \sum_{g_1+g_2=g} H_{g_1}\big((1)\big)H_{g_2}\big((1)\big)\right),$$
which gives in terms of $h_{g,\underline{k}}$
$$h_{g,(2)} = h_{g-1,(1,1)} + \delta_{g,0}/2. \tag{2.2}$$
For $\underline{k} = (1,1)$ the cut-and join equation gives
$$(2g+2)H_g\big((1,1)\big) = 2H_g\big((2)\big),$$
in other words,
$$h_{g,(1,1)} = h_{g,(2)}. \tag{2.3}$$
The relations (2.2) and (2.3) gives the desired consequence. □

Proof of Lemma 1.5 (4). The cut-and join equation for $\underline{k} = (1^n)$ gives
$$(2g-2+2n)H_g\big((1^n)\big) = \binom{n}{2}2H_g\big((2,1^{n-2})\big).$$
In terms of $h_{g,\mu}$ it yields the desired result. □

We close this subsection with mentioning the following statement, although it is not necessary for the proof of the main theorem.

Lemma 2.3. *For a length two partition $\mu = (\mu_1,\mu_2)$, we have*
$$h_{0,(\mu_1,\mu_2)} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\mu_1,\mu_2}}\frac{(\mu_1+\mu_2)!}{\mu_1+\mu_2}\frac{\mu_1^{\mu_1}}{\mu_1!}\frac{\mu_2^{\mu_2}}{\mu_2!}$$
with
$$\sigma_{\mu_1,\mu_2} := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu_1 \neq \mu_2 \\ 2 & \text{if } \mu_1 = \mu_2 \end{cases}. \tag{2.4}$$

Remark 2.4. The factor $1/\sigma_{\mu_1,\mu_2}$ is missed in [EMS11, the line before (2.1)].

Proof. The cut-and-join formula in this case becomes
$$\begin{aligned} h_{0,(\mu_1,\mu_2)} =& \frac{1}{\sigma_{\mu_1,\mu_2}} n h_{0,(n)} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha+\beta=\mu_1}\alpha\beta\left(\frac{\sigma_{\mu_2,\beta}}{\sigma_{\mu_1,\mu_2}}\binom{n-1}{\alpha-1}h_{0,(\alpha)}h_{0,(\mu_2,\beta)} + \frac{\sigma_{\mu_2,\alpha}}{\sigma_{\mu_1,\mu_2}}\binom{n-1}{\beta-1}h_{0,(\mu_2,\alpha)}h_{0,(\beta)}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha+\beta=\mu_2}\alpha\beta\left(\frac{\sigma_{\mu_1,\beta}}{\sigma_{\mu_1,\mu_2}}\binom{n-1}{\alpha-1}h_{0,(\alpha)}h_{0,(\mu_1,\beta)} + \frac{\sigma_{\mu_1,\alpha}}{\sigma_{\mu_1,\mu_2}}\binom{n-1}{\beta-1}h_{0,(\mu_1,\alpha)}h_{0,(\beta)}\right) \end{aligned}$$
with $n := |\mu|$. In the right hand, we know that $h_{0,(n)} = n^{n-3}$ and we may assume the result side by induction. Then the equation has the following form:
$$h_{0,(\mu_1,\mu_2)} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\mu_1,\mu_2}}\left(n^{n-2} + (n-1)\sum_{i=1}^{2}\mu_j^{\mu_j}\binom{n-2}{\mu_j-2}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\mu_i-1}\frac{1}{n-\alpha}\binom{\mu_i-2}{\alpha-1}(\mu_i-\alpha)^{\mu_i-\alpha}\alpha^{\alpha-2}\right)$$
with $j := 3-i$. The summation can be calculated using a similar formula as (1.11) and (1.12). We omit the detail. □

3. Integrality

In this section we prove

Theorem 3.1. *The Hurwitz numbers $h_{g,\mu}$ are positive integers except for the case $h_{g,(1)}=0$ for $g\geq 1$ and $h_{g,(2)}=h_{g,(1,1)}=1/2$ for $g\geq 0$.*

First we deduce the following claim from the cut-and-join equation .

Proposition 3.2. *For any $g\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\mu\in\mathcal{P}$ we have*

$$h_{g,\mu}\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[h_{0,(n)}\mid n\in\mathbb{Z}_+].$$

Proof. In terms of $h_{g,\underline{k}}$ the cut-and-join equation reads

$$\begin{aligned} h_{g,\underline{k}} =& \sum_{i<j}\frac{\#\operatorname{Aut}\big(\underline{k}(\widehat{i},\widehat{j};k_i+k_j)\big)}{\#\operatorname{Aut}\big(\underline{k}\big)}(k_i+k_j)h_{g,\underline{k}(\widehat{i},\widehat{j};k_i+k_j)}\\ &+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\sum_{\alpha+\beta=k_i}\alpha\beta\Bigg(\frac{\#\operatorname{Aut}\big(\underline{k}(\widehat{i};\alpha,\beta)\big)}{\#\operatorname{Aut}\big(\underline{k}\big)}h_{g-1,\underline{k}(\widehat{i};\alpha,\beta)}\\ &\qquad+\sum_{g_1+g_2=g}\sum_{\underline{l}\sqcup\underline{n}=\underline{k}(\widehat{i})}\frac{\#\operatorname{Aut}\big(\underline{l}(\alpha)\big)\#\operatorname{Aut}\big(\underline{n}(\beta)\big)}{\#\operatorname{Aut}\big(\underline{k}\big)}\frac{(r-1)!}{r_1!r_2!}h_{g_1,\underline{l}(\alpha)}h_{g_2,\underline{n}(\beta)}\Bigg). \end{aligned}\tag{3.1}$$

Here we used the symbols $r:=r(g,\underline{k})$, $r_1:=r(g,\underline{l}(\alpha))$ and $r_2:=r(g,\underline{n}(\beta))$. Note that $r-1=r_1+r_2$.

As we saw at Remark 2.2, this equation reads $h_{g,\mu}\in\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}[h_{0,(n)}\mid n\in\mathbb{Z}_+]$ since it decreases $r(g,\underline{k})$. We will now check that the rational coefficients appearing in the right hand side actually sum up to integers. In the following we use $m_i:=m_i(\underline{k})$.

At the first term, if $k_i\neq k_j$, then we have

$$\text{coefficient of }\ h_{g,\underline{k}(\widehat{i},\widehat{j};k_i+k_j)}=m_{k_i}m_{k_j}\frac{\#\operatorname{Aut}\big(\underline{k}(\widehat{i},\widehat{j};k_i+k_j)\big)}{\#\operatorname{Aut}\big(\underline{k}\big)}(k_i+k_j)=m_{k_i}m_{k_j}\frac{m_{k_i+k_j}+1}{m_{k_i}m_{k_j}}(k_i+k_j)\in\mathbb{Z}_+.$$

If $k_i=k_j$, then

$$\text{coefficient of }\ h_{g,\underline{k}(\widehat{i},\widehat{j};2k_i)}=\binom{m_{k_i}}{2}\frac{\#\operatorname{Aut}\big(\underline{k}(\widehat{i},\widehat{j};2k_i)\big)}{\#\operatorname{Aut}\big(\underline{k}\big)}2k_i=\binom{m_{k_i}}{2}\frac{m_{2k_i}+1}{m_{k_i}(m_{k_i}-1)}2k_i\in\mathbb{Z}_+.$$

At the second term, if $\alpha\neq\beta$, then

$$\text{coefficient of }\ h_{g,\underline{k}(\widehat{i};\alpha,\beta)}=2m_{k_i}\frac{\alpha\beta}{2}\frac{\#\operatorname{Aut}\big(\underline{k}(\widehat{i};\alpha,\beta)\big)}{\#\operatorname{Aut}\big(\underline{k}\big)}=m_{k_i}\alpha\beta\frac{(m_\alpha+1)(m_\beta+1)}{m_{k_i}}\in\mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Here the first factor $2m_k$ comes from the symmetry $(\alpha,\beta)\mapsto(\beta,\alpha)$ and the choice of k_i. Similarly, if $\alpha=\beta$, then

$$\text{coefficient of }\ h_{g,\underline{k}(\widehat{i};\alpha,\alpha)}=m_{k_i}\frac{\alpha^2}{2}\frac{\#\operatorname{Aut}\big(\underline{k}(\widehat{i};\alpha,\alpha)\big)}{\#\operatorname{Aut}\big(\underline{k}\big)}=m_{k_i}\frac{\alpha^2}{2}\frac{(m_\alpha+1)(m_\alpha+2)}{m_{k_i}}\in\mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Finally at the third term,

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{coefficient of }\ h_{g_1,\underline{l}(\alpha)}h_{g_2,\underline{n}(\beta)}\\ &=\varepsilon m_{k_i}\binom{m_{k_i}-1}{m_{k_i}(\underline{l})}\prod_{j\neq k_i}\binom{m_j}{m_j(\underline{l})}\cdot\frac{\alpha\beta}{2}\binom{r-1}{r_1}\frac{\#\operatorname{Aut}\big(\underline{l}(\alpha)\big)\#\operatorname{Aut}\big(\underline{n}(\beta)\big)}{\#\operatorname{Aut}\big(\underline{k}\big)}\\ &=\varepsilon m_{k_i}\binom{m_{k_i}-1}{m_{k_i}(\underline{l})}\prod_{j\neq k_i}\binom{m_j}{m_j(\underline{l})}\cdot\frac{\alpha\beta}{2}\binom{r-1}{r_1}\prod_j\frac{m_j\big(\underline{l}(\alpha)\big)!m_j\big(\underline{n}(\beta)\big)!}{m_j\big(\underline{k}\big)!}\\ &=\varepsilon(m_\alpha(\underline{l})+1)(m_\beta(\underline{n})+1)\frac{\alpha\beta}{2}\binom{r-1}{r_1} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\varepsilon:=\begin{cases}2 & \text{if }\ \big(g_1,\underline{l}(\alpha)\big)\neq\big(g_2,\underline{n}(\beta)\big)\\ 1 & \text{otherwise}\end{cases}.\tag{3.2}$$

The last expression is a positive integer since if $\big(g_1,\underline{l}(\alpha)\big)=\big(g_2,\underline{n}(\beta)\big)$ then $r_1=r_2$ so that $\binom{r-1}{r_1}=\binom{2r_1}{r_1}\in 2\mathbb{Z}_+$.

Therefore every coefficient in the right hand side of (3.1) is a non-negative integer, and we have the conclusion. □

Now we turn to

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We show the integrality by the induction on the number $r(g,\mu)$. $r(g,\mu)=0$ requires $(g,(\mu))=\big(0,(1)\big)$, and $h_{0,(1)}=1$ by Lemma 1.5 (1). $r(g,\mu)=1$ requires $(g,(\mu))=\big(0,(2)\big)$, and (this case is excluded, but) $h_{0,(2)}=1/2$ by Lemma 1.5 (3).

Assume that the statement holds for $r(g,\mu)<=r-1$, and consider the case $r(g,\mu)=r$ with $r\leq 3$. By (the proof of) the last Proposition 3.2, an obstruction of the integrality of $h_{g,\mu}$ occurrs when $h_{g',(2)}$ or $h_{g',(1,1)}$ with some g' appears in the right hand side of the cut-and-join equation (3.1). We now switch the notation from μ to $\underline{k}$.

At the first term of the right hand side of (3.1), $\underline{k}(\widehat{i},\widehat{j};k_i+k_j)=(2)$ holds if and only if $\underline{k}=(1,1)$, and we can ignore this case. $\underline{k}(\widehat{i},\widehat{j};k_i+k_j)=(1,1)$ cannot hold, so it is done.

At the second term, $\underline{k}(\widehat{i};\alpha,\beta)=(2)$ cannot hold. $\underline{k}(\widehat{i};\alpha,\beta)=(1,1)$ hols if and only if $\underline{k}=(2)$, and we can ignore this case.

The non-trivial consideration is necessary only at the third term. Assume $\underline{l}(\alpha)=(2)$. Then $\underline{l}=\emptyset$ and $\alpha=2$, so that $\beta=k_i-2$ and $\underline{n}(\beta)=\underline{k}(\widehat{i},k_i-2)$. Then, by the same calculation as in the proof of the previous Proposition 3.2, the term including $h_{g_1,\underline{l}(\alpha)}h_{g_2,\underline{n}(\beta)}$ is

$$\varepsilon(m_\alpha(\underline{l})+1)(m_\beta(\underline{n})+1)\frac{\alpha\beta}{2}\binom{r-1}{r_1}h_{g_1,\underline{l}(\alpha)}h_{g_2,\underline{n}(\beta)}=\frac{\varepsilon}{2}(m_\alpha(\underline{l})+1)(m_\beta(\underline{n})+1)\beta\binom{r-1}{r_1}h_{g_2,\underline{n}(\beta)}\qquad(3.3)$$

with $m_i:=m_i(\underline{k})$ and $r_1:=r\big(g_1,\underline{l}(\alpha)\big)=2g_1+1$. By the definition (3.2) of ε and by induction, this expression is a non-negative integer if $\big(g_1,\underline{l}(\alpha)\big)\neq\big(g_2,\underline{n}(\beta)\big)$ and $h_{g_2,\underline{n}(\beta)}\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Thus we have only to consider the following cases: (i) $\big(g_1,\underline{l}(\alpha)\big)=\big(g_2,\underline{n}(\beta)\big)$ or (ii)$h_{g_2,\underline{n}(\beta)}=1/2$. If (i) holds, then $\underline{n}(\beta)=(2)$, so that $\underline{k}=(4)$. This case is already done in Proposition 1.6. If (ii) holds, then $\underline{n}(\beta)=(2)$ or $\underline{n}(\beta)=(1,1)$ by induction. The first case is already excluded, so we may assume $\underline{n}(\beta)=(1,1)$. Then $\underline{k}=(3,1)$, $\alpha=2$, $\beta=1$, and the expression (3.3) becomes

$$(3.3)=\frac{2}{2}\cdot 1\cdot 2\cdot\binom{r-1}{r_1}\cdot\frac{1}{2},$$

which is a positive integer.

The case $\underline{l}(\alpha)=(1,1)$ is similar. Here we have $\underline{l}=(1)$, $\alpha=1$, $\beta=k_i-1$ and $\underline{n}(\beta)=\underline{k}(\widehat{i};k_i-1)$. The term including $h_{g_1,\underline{l}(\alpha)}h_{g_2,\underline{n}(\beta)}$ is given by

$$\varepsilon(m_\alpha(\underline{l})+1)(m_\beta(\underline{n})+1)\frac{\alpha\beta}{2}\binom{r-1}{r_1}h_{g_1,\underline{l}(\alpha)}h_{g_2,\underline{n}(\beta)}=\frac{\varepsilon}{4}(m_\alpha(\underline{l})+1)(m_\beta(\underline{n})+1)\beta\binom{r-1}{r_1}h_{g_2,\underline{n}(\beta)}\qquad(3.4)$$

The cases we have to consider are (iii) $\big(g_1,\underline{l}(\alpha)\big)=\big(g_2,\underline{n}(\beta)\big)$ or (iv)$h_{g_2,\underline{n}(\beta)}=1/2$. If (iii) holds, then $\underline{n}=(1)$, $\beta=1$ and $\underline{k}=(2,1,1)$. Thus the expression (3.3) becomes

$$(3.4)=\frac{2}{4}\cdot 2\cdot 2\cdot\binom{r-1}{r_1}\cdot\frac{1}{2},$$

which is a positive integer. The case (iv) is reduced to the case (ii) or (iii), and the proof is completed. □

During the study of the Hurwitz numbers, we found the following condition on the parity.

Conjecture 3.3. *For $|\mu|\geq 3$ and $g\geq 0$, we have*

$$h_{g,\mu}\text{ is odd}\Longrightarrow r(g,\mu)\text{ is even, every part of }\mu\text{ is odd and }\ell(\mu)\leq 2.$$

Remark 3.4. We checked the condition for $r(g,\mu)\leq 18$. Unfortunately the converse does not hold. The cases for which the converse does not hold and $r(g,\mu)\leq 14$ are the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
r(g,\mu)=8:\quad &(g,\mu)=\big(1,(7)\big),\big(1,(5,1)\big),\big(1,(3,3)\big),\\
r(g,\mu)=10:\quad &(g,\mu)=\big(0,(7,3)\big),\big(1,(7,1)\big),\big(1,(5,3)\big),\big(1,(9)\big),\\
r(g,\mu)=12:\quad &(g,\mu)=\big(0,(7,5)\big),\big(1,(7,3)\big),\big(3,(5,1)\big),\big(3,(3,3)\big),\big(3,(7)\big),\\
r(g,\mu)=14:\quad &(g,\mu)=\big(0,(11,3)\big),\big(0,(7,7)\big),\big(1,(7,5)\big)\big(2,(9,1)\big),\big(2,(7,3)\big),\big(2,(5,5)\big),\big(2,(11)\big),\\
&\qquad\big(3,(7,1)\big),\big(3,(5,3)\big),\big(3,(9)\big).
\end{aligned}$$

For these pairs, the Hurwitz number $h_{g,\mu}$ is even.

References

[EMS11] B. Eynard, M. Mulase, B. Safnuk *The Laplace transform of the cut-and-join equation and the Bouchard-Marino conjecture on Hurwitz numbers*, Publ. RIMS **47** (2011), 629–670.

[GJ97] I. P. Goulden, D. M. Jackson, *Transitive factorisations into transpositions and holomorphic mappings on the sphere*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), no. 1, 51–60.

[LZZ00] A. M. Li, G. Zhao, Q. Zheng, *The number of ramified coverings of a Riemann surface by Riemann surface*, Commun. Math. Phys. **213** (2000), 685–696.

[M95] I. G. Macdonald, Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials. 2nd ed. Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press (1995).

[MZ10] M. Mulase, N. Zhang, *Polynomial recursion formula for linear Hodge integrals*, Communications in Number Theory and Physics **4** No.2 (2010) 267–294.

[O00] A. Okounkov, *Toda equations for Hurwitz numbers*, Math. Res. Lett. **7** (2000), 447–453.

[S89] R. P. Stanley, *Some Combinatorial Properties of Jack symmetric Functions*, Adv. Math. **77** (1989), 76–115.

[Z03] J. Zhou, *Hodge integrals, Hurwitz numbers, and symmetric groups*, arXiv:math/0309024.

Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan
E-mail address: yanagida@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp